

**REMARKS**

Claims 13-44 are pending in the present application. By this reply, claims 1-12 have been cancelled, and claims 13-44 have been added. Claims 13, 21, 25, 29, 37, and 41 are independent claims.

The Abstract and specification have been amended to address minor informalities and to clarify the invention according to U.S. practice. These modifications do not add any new matter to the disclosure.

**Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)**

Claims 1-12 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Dinallo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,929,857, hereinafter "Dinallo"). This rejection, insofar as it pertains to the presently pending claims, is respectfully traversed.

Prior claims 1-12 have been cancelled and new claims 13-44 have been added.

Regarding independent claims 13, 25, 29 and 41, as shown in FIG. 6 of Dinallo, a default remote control display 600 and a viewing area 618 having buttons 620, 622, and 624 are simultaneously displayed on a display screen. However, nowhere does Dinallo specifically disclose that a menu page having both

a first type menu item and a second type menu item is displayed, wherein the second type menu item is for requesting a new menu page that may be supplied from the recording medium.

Regarding independent claims 21 and 37, Dinallo's buttons 620, 622 and 624, which are generated based on navigation data from the recording medium, are not presented in non-graphical form. In contrast, in Applicants' embodied invention, when a digital audio apparatus without an image display is used, the menu information is presented in a non-graphical form, such as "text-only", as set forth on page 11, lines 15-21, of the present specification.

Accordingly, Dinallo fails to anticipate each of the independent claims. Thus, these independent claims and their dependent claims (due to their dependency) are patentable over Dinallo, and the rejection should be withdrawn.

### Conclusion

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action, and that the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Esther H. Chong, Reg. No. 40,953, at (703) 205-8000.


Pursuant to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), the Applicants respectfully petition for a one (1) month extension of time for filing a response in connection with the present application and the required fee of \$110.00 is attached hereto.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17, particularly extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

 #39,538  
Joseph A. Kolasch  
Reg. No. 22,463  
P. O. Box 747  
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000

2950-0179P

Attachment: New Abstract  
JAK:EHC:rk:mlr